Pupil premium strategy statement

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium (and recovery premium) funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the effect that last year's spending of pupil premium had within our school.

School overview

Detail	Data
School name	Parson Street Primary
Number of pupils in school	310
Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils	45%
Academic year/years that our current pupil premium strategy plan covers (3 year plans are recommended)	2023-26
Date this statement was published	December 2023
Date on which it will be reviewed	December 2024
Statement authorised by	Laurie Munro
Pupil premium lead	Laurie Munro
Governor / Trustee lead	Dave Spence

Funding overview


Detail	Amount
Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year	£181,87
Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year	£O
Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable)	£O
Total budget for this academic year If your school is an academy in a trust that pools this funding, state the amount available to your school this academic year	NA

Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan Statement of intent

At Parson Street Primary School, our ultimate objective is to support children in receipt of the Pupil Premium Grant to achieve as well nationally in comparison to their non-PP peers. We do this through an established culture of equity over equality that aims to understand the challenges that learners experiencing disadvantage (LEDs) face, and that puts the right support in at the right time. For us to achieve our objectives, we have set and invested in a culture that supports learners experiencing disadvantage even over others.

Our culture is based around four key principles:

- Collective Teacher Efficacy (the collective belief of teachers in their ability to positively affect students) is the described by John Hattie as the 'number one influence' with an effect size of 1.57 in relation to student achievement (10 Mindframes for Visible Learning, John Hattie 2018).
- Quality first teaching (Sutton Trust 2011): this is described as the single biggest variable (30%) that explains in-school variation. In order to develop highly effective teaching, that supports the progress and attainment of learners experiencing disadvantage (LEDs), we use a systemised approach to staff professional development built upon evidence-based research.
- We apply a 'Find the gap; plug the gap' mentality for specific areas of deficit, academic or otherwise. This PP gap analysis provides us with a holistic understanding of the children that helps to identify the right support at the right time.
- We have designed and teach a cumulatively sufficient, knowledge rich curriculum. "Learning is a persistent change in knowledge...knowledge is information that exists in our mind, in our long-term memory," Peps Mccrea 2016.
- We are committed to supporting the development of self-regulation strategies children need to access learning opportunities.



Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

Challenge number	Detail of challenge
1	Approximately 1/3 of Learners Experiencing Disadvantage (LED) were persistently absent in school year 2022/23.
2	About ½ of the LEDs in year 5 and 6 have had a previous school.
3	40% of LEDs have an identified SEND.
4	½ of our LEDs have poor social and emotional skills. In addition, 30% dysregulate frequently.
5	About ½ of LEDs have a vocabulary deprivation and 1/5 have an identified speech and language impairment.
6	Approximately 60% of LEDs have narrow experiences and reduced educational experiences at home.
7	40% of LEDs have experienced at least 1 ACE
8	There is a history of LEDs performing significantly less well than their peers in KS2 SATs
9	Young people from the community we serve are the least likely to go on to Higher Education in the city.

Intended outcomes

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for **by the end of our current strategy plan**, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

Intended outcome	Success criteria
Learners experiencing disadvantage (LEDs) across the school will have accelerated progress to raise attainment	Children will achieve as well nationally in comparison to their non-PP peers.
The 9 challenges identified above are supported – find the gap, plug the gap.	Children are ready to learn and able to access benevolent school experiences.
Disadvantaged attendance has increased by +1%	Attendance of PP children will improve each year to be in line with the national average

Activity in this academic year

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium funding) **this academic year** to address the challenges listed above.

Teaching (for example, CPD, recruitment and retention)

Budgeted cost: £8,000

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challeng e number(s) addresse d
Staff CPD -Sharper focus on fewer things through CPD cycle -Coaching and Mentoring -ECT training opportunities	https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/suppor t-for-schools/school-improvement-planning/1-high- quality-teaching Impact of effective teaching on students in years of progress – Sutton Trust (2011) https://www.suttontrust.com/our-research/great- teaching/	8
Embed a whole school reading approach that includes: - Systematic Synthetic Phonics (unlocking Letters and Sounds) - Access to phonetically decodable texts - Phonics into reading - Reading fluency - Reading spines to support exposure to	 Reading is the gateway to knowledge and yet 1 in 4 LEDs leave primary school unable to read well, and 1 in 8 don't own a book. Children who own books are 15 times more likely to read above ARE. Systematic synthetic phonics <u>https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/educat</u> ion-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/phonics Matthew Effect – impact on fluency and progress <u>https://www.phonicbooks.co.uk/2017/06/04/matthew-effect-comes-reading-instruction/</u> Brain development: How we learn – the new science of education and the brain (Stanilas Dehaene) p.132-138, p.157-158 	8

high quality texts - Reading to learn (comprehensio n)		
Curriculum enactment: Continue to teach a cumulatively sufficient, knowledge rich curriculum that is broad and balanced. It uses the states of being within a framework built upon a cycle of knowledge acquisition and skills application and incorporates fluency throughout. Knowledge organisers used to support for every unit.	Why knowledge Matters – rescuing our children from failed educational theories (E.D. Hirsch, Jr – 2017) The Curriculum – Gallimaufry to coherence (Mary Myatt – 2018) Seven myths about education (Daisy Christodoulou) Why children don't like school (Daniel T. Willingham)	8, 6

Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one support structured interventions)

Budgeted cost: £120,000

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challeng e number(s) addresse d
To use targeted	https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/projects- and-evaluation/projects/response-to-intervention	8

intervention s delivered by teaching staff (TA's Academic mentor, and SLT) to plug identified gaps.		
To implement techniques to support the developme nt of Oracy including use of Topaz gem to support oracy.	Voice-21 "Speaking is a huge priority. It's one of the biggest indica- tors of success later in life. It's important in terms of their employability as they get older. It's important in terms of wellbeing. If children aren't able to express themselves and communicate how they're feeling, they're not going to be able to be successful members of society." Amy Gaunt, Voice-21 https://voice21.org/	5
To prioritise Speech and Language intervention s for identified children	https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/projects- and-evaluation/projects/nuffield-early-language-interven- tion	5
To utilise TA Team teaching approach to support additional adults working in classes.	https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/educat ion-evidence/guidance-reports/teaching-assistants	3

Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)

Budgeted cost: £53,000

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challeng
		e number(s
)

		addresse d
To include explicit teaching of Tier 3 and Tier 2 vocabulary within wider curriculum. To use vocabulary mats in English to support children's vocabulary acquisition and knowledge of word class.	 Closing the Vocabulary Gap – Alex Quigley Low vocabulary levels are a consistent factor for disad- vantaged children across the country Parents in professional families speak 32 million more words to their children than parents in welfare families Children with restricted vocabularies at 5 years old are more likely to be poor readers as adults, experience higher unemployment rates and have more mental health issues 95% of words in a text = comprehension We need to understand the challenge of conversa- tional, academic and public sphere vocabulary and know how to make this accessible for all The working class: Poverty, Education and Alternative Voices – Ian Gilbert Language deprivation means that disadvantaged children have a limited acquisition and repertoire of vocabulary. Their lack of wider experiences leads to reduced general knowledge and language schemata to adapt to new learn- ing. 	5
To implement and embed an effective attendance and belonging strategy	https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/me- dia/65df4a76f1cab36b60fc4726/Working_together_to_im- prove_school_attendanceapplies_from_19_Au- gust_202429.02.24.pdf	1,2
To implement a consistent metacognitiv e approach that supports behaviour for learning through consistency of expectation and language:	Education Endowment fund (EEF): Meta-cognition and self-regulation approaches have con- sistently high levels of impact, with pupils making an aver- age of eight months' additional progress. The evidence indi- cates that teaching these strategies can be particularly ef- fective for low achieving and older pupils. <u>https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education- evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/metacognition-and- self-regulation</u>	4

		1
- Learning		
behaviours:		
Gems		
- Based on		
Think Like a		
Learner (Di		
Pardoe and		
Tom Rob-		
son), the		
use of Gem		
Powers to		
be used as		
a whole		
school ap-		
proach		
ELSA trained	Evidence of the efficacy of ELSA trained staff is emerging:	4,7
member of		<i>ч,</i> ,
staff em-	Improvement in Emotional Well-Being: Studies suggest	
ployed to im-	that interventions involving emotional literacy and support	
plement sup-	can contribute to improved emotional well-being among	
port, based on	students. ELSAs, by focusing on emotional development,	
nurture ap-	may help students navigate and cope with challenges,	
•	leading to better mental health outcomes.	
proaches, to		
support iden-	Positive Impact on Behaviour and Learning: Emotional	
tified children	support in schools has been associated with positive	
in the follow-	changes in student behaviour and academic performance.	
ing ways:	ELSAs, through their interventions, may contribute to	
- To	creating a more conducive learning environment by	
achieve	addressing emotional needs.	
stability	addressing emotional needs.	
with be-		
haviour	Enhanced Social Skills: ELSAs often work with students on	
and atti-	developing social and interpersonal skills. This can	
tudes to	contribute to improved relationships with peers and	
learning	teachers, fostering a positive and supportive school	
in identi-	community.	
fied chil-		
dren.	Case Studies and Qualitative Data: Some evidence	
- To signifi-		
cantly re-	supporting the effectiveness of ELSAs comes from case	
duce the	studies and qualitative data. These studies often highlight	
impact of	specific instances where ELSA interventions have made a	
key learn-	positive difference in students' lives.	
ers' be-		
haviour	Supporting Inclusive Education: ELSAs can play a role in	
on others	supporting inclusive education by addressing the	
within the	emotional needs of students, including those with special	
school	educational needs or those facing particular challenges.	

and be-		
yond		
(family		
life).		
- To signifi-		
cantly re-		
duce the		
number		
of signifi-		
cant inci-		
dents, in-		
ternal ex-		
clusion		
and sus-		
pensions.		
- To im-		
prove at-		
tendance		
- To ad-		
dress		
children's		
lack of		
progress		
academi-		
cally,		
emotion-		
ally and		
socially		
To the day of the		
To implement	https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-	4
and support	evidence/early-years-toolkit/self-regulation-strategies	
approaches to		
behaviour that		
support self-		
regulation.		
This includes		
the use of		
emotion		
coaching,		
providing		
warm, respon-		
sive relation-		
ships and		
structuring		
the environ-		
ment to sup-		
port regula-		
tion.		

Dedicated family sup- port worker	https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/educa tion-evidence/guidance-reports/supporting-parents https://parentgym.com/about-us/science-behind- our-work/	7, 2
---	---	------

Total budgeted cost: £ 181,000

Part B: Review of outcomes in the previous academic year

Pupil premium strategy outcomes

This details the impact that our pupil premium activity had on pupils in the 2020 to 2021 academic year.

```
End of KS2 results:
KS2 combined 59% (all), 41% (PP)
KS2 reading 64% (all), 47% (PP)
KS2 writing 69% (all), 59% (PP)
KS2 maths 70% (all), 59% (PP)
End of KS1 results:
KS1 Reading 39% (all) 29% (PP)
KS1 Writing 32% (all), 14% (PP)
KS1 Maths 42% (all), 29% (PP)
Y4 MTC results:
Average mark -16.4 (all)
Average mark - 14.9 (PP)
Y1 phonics results:
% all 73
% PP 58
EYFS GLD results:
GLD = % 71 (all) GLD = 50 % (PP)
```

Service pupil premium funding (optional)

For schools that receive this funding, you may wish to provide the following information:

Measure	Details
How did you spend your service pupil premium allocation last academic year?	

|--|

Further information (optional)

Use this space to provide any further information about your pupil premium strategy. For example, about your strategy planning, or other activity that you are implementing to support disadvantaged pupils, that is not dependent on pupil premium or recovery premium funding.